

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH  
239

C.R.No.3434 of 2014

Date of Decision:21.07.2015

Raghubir Singh and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.KANNAN.**

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? Yes

**Present: Mr.S.P.Khatri, Advocate,  
for the petitioners.**

**Mr.Gaurav Goel, Assistant Advocate General,  
Haryana, for the State.**

\*\*\*\*

**K.KANNAN, J.(oral)**

The argument brought by the decree holder is that interest shall be calculated on the award amount for land acquired from taking possession and not from the date of the award. It all depends on whether the possession was taken before, at or after the date of the award.

I modify the order on the understanding that the impugned order makes a reference to the calculations of interest from the date of an order passed by the High Court and not with reference to the date of taking possession. If that is the understanding, that could be attributed to the order. I modify the

same and hold that the decree holder is entitled to interest on the amount payable from the date when possession was taken in the same manner as specified under Section 34 of the Land Acquisition Act. The order is modified and the revision petition is allowed to the above extent.

July 21, 2015  
seema

(K.KANNAN)  
JUDGE