

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

RSA No.1894 of 1984(O&M)
Date of decision: 08.01.2015

Prem Udasian Co-op. Society Private

...Appellant

Versus

Mohan Dass and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Jagdev Singh, Advocate,
for the appellant.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)

The instant appeal was dismissed for non-prosecution on 12.03.2013, but the same was restored vide order dated 29.08.2014, subject to payment of ₹ 2,000/- as costs to be deposited with the High Court Lawyers Welfare Fund. Office report shows that cost of ₹ 2,000/-, in terms of the order dated 29.08.2014, has not been deposited.

Learned counsel for the appellant pleads 'no instruction'.

That being so, the court is choiceless but to dismiss the instant appeal for non-prosecution.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

January 8, 2015
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge