

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.364 of 2015
Date of decision : 21.08.2015

Paramjit Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and ors.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment ?
2. To be referred to reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr. Arvind Kashyap, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Kamaljeet Dahiya, Advocate for respondent No.2.

Mr. Gopal Mittal, Advocate for respondent No.5.

Mr. Manpreet Ghuman, Advocate for respondent No.6.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

Challenge in the present petition is to the impugned order dated 29.11.2014 (Annexure P-1), whereby application filed on behalf of petitioner for seeking amendment of claim petition & rejoinder has been dismissed.

Mr. Arvind Kashyap, learned counsel appearing on behalf of petitioner submits that in view of statement made by Kuldeep Singh who had

witnessed the accident stated that it had occurred with water tanker trolley and not with water tanker, therefore necessity had arisen to file application for amendment of the claim petition and replication.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondents submits that even trial Court has rightly dismissed the application as statement of the witness examined by the petitioner reveals that there is no reference about the tractor trolley number and rightly so, the trial Court has dismissed the application.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and appraised the paper book.

It is a matter of record that petition has been filed under Section 163(A) of Motor Vehicles Act. The amendment has been sought not only to incorporate the word tanker trolley in petition and replication but also in memo of parties. Be that as it may. The statement of Kuldip Singh reveals that there is no mention about tractor trolley, even there is no mention of registration number of tractor trolley, thus, in my view the word incorporated cannot help in the actual adjudication of the matter between the parties to the lis.

There is no illegality and perversity in the order and order cannot be set aside.

The petition is devoid of merits. Accordingly the same is dismissed.

21.08.2015

pawan

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**