

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH
227 **C.R.No.336 of 2014**
Date of Decision:21.07.2015

**Punjab State Power Corporation
Limited and others** **.....Petitioners**

Versus

District Bar Association, Sangrur **.....Respondent**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.KANNAN.

- 1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? Yes**

**Present: Mr.Vishal Chaudhri, Advocate,
for the petitioners.**

Ms.Vandana Malhotra, Addl.A.G, Punjab.

**Mr.Vikas Suri, Advocate,
and Mr.Gaurav Sharma, Advocate,
with Mr.Balkaran Singh for Bar Association, Sangrur.**

K.KANNAN, J.(oral)

Learned counsel for the State has no instructions to deposit Rs.30 lacs approximately for additional installation necessary for uninterrupted electricity supply which the Bar Association wants. Bar Association cannot have a luxury, if it cannot afford I cannot issue any directions for the same. The impugned order is set aside and the revision petition is allowed. There is no compulsion for the Power Corporation to make additional installation necessary for uninterrupted electricity supply.

July 21, 2015
seema

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE