

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

C.R. No.3544 of 2015

Date of Decision.25.05.2015

Darshan Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

Mukhtiar Singh (deceased) through LRs and another

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. C.M. Munjal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is aggrieved that the Executing Court is not executing the decree for specific performance but merely adjourning the case on several occasions without any justification. If there is no order of stay by the Appellate Court, the Executing Court shall not unnecessarily adjourn the case time and again. It shall mind its work as is expected of it in judicial function and pass appropriate order in execution petition as expeditiously as possible and preferably within a period of eight weeks.

2. With these observations, the civil revision is disposed of.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

May 25, 2015
Pankaj*