

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.3534 of 2015

Date of decision: 17.09.2015

Dhani Ram

...Petitioner

Versus

Arjun Singh

..Respondent

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Ms.Vandana Sharma, Advocate for
Mr.Rajesh Lamba, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr.Vikram Singh, Advocate for the respondent

- 1. *Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?***
- 2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?***
- 3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?***

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

Impugned in the present revision is the order dated 14.5.2015, passed by the learned Civil Judge, Junior Division, Gurgaon, vide which, application of the plaintiff for additional evidence to prove certain un-exhibited photographs was declined.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have also minutely examined the impugned order.

By way of additional evidence, plaintiff wants to prove certain photographs. The photographs can be proved only by examining the photographer. The Lower Court has observed that the photographs are not so essential so as to go to the root of the

case. Plaintiff revisionist was given sufficient opportunities to lead evidence. Exercise of due diligence had not been proved by the applicant. Accordingly, the prayer was declined. Case is now stated to be fixed for arguments. I do not see any reason to differ with the view taken by the lower Court.

The revision is dismissed.

17.09.2015

gk

(Kuldip Singh)
Judge