

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH.

CR 3527 of 2015

Date of Decision: July 1, 2015

Kashmir Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

Swaran Singh

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI.

-. -

Present:- Mr. N.S. Sodhi, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

-. -

**M.M.S. BEDI, J. (ORAL)**

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner, I do not find any ground to interfere in the orders passed by the Courts below regarding attachment and sale of the property of the petitioner.

Dismissed.

However, it is observed that in case the petitioner moves an application under Order 21 Rule 69 CPC or Order 21 Rule 83 CPC satisfying the Court that he has got intention to satisfy the decree, the said application will be considered in accordance with law. It is further observed that the direction of the Court for recovery of Rs.100/- per day w.e.f. year

1999 is not in consonance with the provisions of law, therefore, the said condition will not be enforceable against the petitioner with retrospective effect.

July 1, 2015  
sanjay

(M.M.S.BEDI)  
JUDGE