

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Revision No.3516 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 26.11.2015.**

Yashjit Singh Parmar Th. His LR Neeta Parmar ...Petitioner

Versus

Daljit Singh Parmar and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Ms. Deepali Puri, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sampat Lal Songara, Advocate,
for respondent No.1.

1. *Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

KULDIP SINGH, J.(ORAL)

In a petition for grant of probate, the present petitioner who was defendant No.3 (defendant Nos.1 to 3 before the Lower Court) failed to file the written statement, despite the expiry of 90 days and grant of last opportunity. Therefore, their defence was struck off vide order dated 06.02.2015 passed by learned Additional District Judge, Chandigarh.

Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks only one opportunity on the ground that there was a mistake on the part of the counsel and that vital rights of the parties on the basis of Will are

involved. Strictly speaking, the petitioner is not entitled to any further time. However, keeping in view the fact that it is a property dispute between brothers and sisters, one opportunity is granted to the present petitioner to file the written statement within three weeks on the payment of Rs.10,000/- as costs to be paid to the opposite party.

The present petition stands allowed.

November 26, 2015

Ankur

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**