

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

C.R. No.3235 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of Decision.03.07.2015

Anant Pal Singh Mann

.....Petitioner

Versus

Allahabad Bank and others

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. Pankaj Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Malik, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

C.M. No.12544-CII of 2015

With the consent of both the parties, the main case is preponed and taken up today itself.

Application is allowed.

C.R. No.3235 of 2014

1. The revision petition is against an order accepting the petition for rejection of plaint. Rejection of plaint constitutes a decree under the definition of Section 2(d) of the Civil Procedure Code which is appealable decree and not revisable. The petitioner is at liberty to present appeal against the order which is impugned before the competent forum and if there is any delay in preferring such appeal, the petitioner is entitled to seek condonation of delay under Section 14 of

the Limitation Act by setting out appropriate reasons. The Court will consider if such an application for condonation of delay is filed and pass appropriate orders.

2. The revision petition is disposed of with the above observations.

(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE

July 03, 2015
Pankaj*