

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

C.R. No.3351 of 2012 (O&M)
Date of Decision.21.05.2015

Bachan Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

Gurdeep Singh

.....Respondent

Present: Mr. P.S. Khurana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-. -

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

C.M. No.10844-CII of 2015

The application is allowed and the main case is taken up for hearing today itself with the consent of counsel.

C.R. No.3351 of 2012

1. The revision petition is for recall of the order passed by the Court below which has closed the defendant's evidence. There is a procedure prescribed by this Court in **Santosh Kumar Berry Vs. Nirmala Devi and others 2013(1) PLR 404** as to how in such a situation the party may apply to the very same Court and seek for reopening of the case for recall of witnesses. The order passed already cannot be taken as irreversible by the same judge if an application is filed in the manner referred to above.
2. The revision petition is disposed of giving liberty to the petitioner to approach the very same trial Court and secure orders in the manner contemplated in the aforesaid judgment.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

May 21, 2015
Pankaj*