

112

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.3497 of 2015

Date of decision: May 20, 2015

Avinash Chand

.....Petitioner

Versus

Harvinder Singh Gill

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SABINA

Present: Mr. Ashok Singla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

SABINA, J

Petitioner has filed this petition challenging the order dated 06.05.2015, whereby application moved by the respondent for amendment of the eviction petition, was allowed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that by way of amendment, now respondent has sought ejectment of the petitioner on a new ground. Initially, the ejectment petition had been filed by the respondent seeking ejectment of the petitioner on the ground that he required the premises in question for his personal use and occupation, whereas, now by way of amendment, respondent wants to take up the plea that the premises in question was required by his wife for running her business.

Respondent had filed petition under Section 13 of the East Punjab Urban Rent Restrict Act, 1949 seeking ejectment of the petitioner on the ground that he required the premises in question for running his office and that the building had been

rendered unfit and unsafe for human habitation. During the pendency of the petition, respondent moved an application for permission to amend the ejectment petition on the ground that after the filing of the petition, he had got married and his wife was a postgraduate in Economics and she wanted to run her business in the premises in question. Since the amendment was sought by the respondent on account of subsequent event which had arisen after the filing of the ejectment petition, the learned Rent Controller rightly allowed the application moved by the respondent for permission to amend the petition.

No ground for interference by this Court, is made out.

Dismissed.

**(SABINA)
JUDGE**

May 20, 2015
mahavir