

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**C.R. No.3470 of 2015
Date of Decision.20.05.2015**

Gurbhej Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

Baldev Singh and another

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. Atul Jain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. The application for production of document which was filed previously was withdrawn and a fresh application was filed for the same relief. The Court has allowed it.
2. The counsel appearing on behalf of the party who has been directed to produce the document is in revision against the order complaining that no permission had been taken for filing a fresh application. I will not find rules of Order 23 CPC to be applicable for production of document.
3. The revision petition is frivolous and it is dismissed.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

May 20, 2015
Pankaj*