

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 3439 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: May 18, 2015

Ravneet Kaur Teja

...Petitioner

Versus

Amarjot Singh Teja

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. **Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?**
2. **To be referred to the Reporters or not ?**
3. **Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present: Mr. Jasdev Singh Mehndirata, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

K. KANNAN, J. (Oral)

1. I will make no intervention in a custody issue, where the father has been granted right of custody for two days during the holidays of the children, who are in Mayo College. The counsel says that the court could not have passed the order without eliciting the personal views of the children in the light of the judgment of the Supreme Court in Mamta alias Anju Versus Ashok Jagannath Bharuka (2005) 12 Supreme Court Cases 452
2. In matters relating to custody of children, no two cases are alike. The precedent value of any particular judgment would have to be examined from the peculiar circumstances attendant in every case. A right of visitation or a temporary custody for two days ought not to be understood as creating such a serious situation that wishes of the children must always be obtained and if that is not done, the order is vitiated. There ought to be certain play in the joints in custody issue and the superior court ought o

recognize the discretion which a trial court would exercise in such like matters. I would find, therefore, no special circumstance to find any illegality in the order. The order is maintained. The revision petition is dismissed.

May 18, 2015
prem

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE