

**THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 3160 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of decision: March 3, 2015

Rajwant Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Daljeet Kaur and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. **Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?**
2. **To be referred to the Reporters or not ?**
3. **Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present: Mr. PK Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Arvind Kashyap, Advocate,
for respondents No. 1 to 5.

K. KANNAN, J. (Oral)

1. The plaintiff/petitioner, who is contending that the sale by the 1st defendant in favour of the 2nd defendant is not valid and for partition of the property in agricultural land he was directed by the trial court to pay ad-valorem court fee under an application under Order 7 Rule 11 CPC. The order is wrong and it is set aside. There is no requirement of ad-valorem court fee.

2. The revision petition is allowed and the impugned order is set aside.

March 3, 2015
prem

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE