

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

C.R. No.3431 of 2015

Date of Decision.23.07.2015

Shivani Sehgal

.....Petitioner

Versus

Jaskinder Kaur and another

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. Mohit Jaggi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. There is no scope for interference in revision, for, there is no justification for a defendant to bring a third party evidence first unless it is a case where the party wants to dispense with the examination of the party and would want only the third party as witness. The counsel says, however, that the defendant will also be examined. In such an event, she is bound to examine herself first before she allows third party witness to be examined.

2. The plaintiff herself has allowed the benefit of examining the witness first and she came later. It would have been possible to object such a course. If she did not take such objection but the plaintiff take such an objection for the defendant, there need not be parity in approach. The defendant omitted to do what she is bound to do and the plaintiff did what she is entitled to do.

3. The revision petition is dismissed.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

July 23, 2015
Pankaj*