

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

C.R. No.3430 of 2015

Date of Decision.23.07.2015

Wilson Masih

.....Petitioner

Versus

Ribka Masih

.....Respondent

Present: Mr. Johny Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. The order passed by the Court below is untenable. The amendment of Punjab and Haryana High Court made in Order 22 Rule 3 allows for impleadment of parties without suffering the issue of abatement.

2. The order is set aside and the civil revision is allowed dispensing with notice to the respondent. The legal representatives are permitted to be impleaded as parties. It is stated by the petitioner's counsel that the daughter Ribka Masih has expired and he will take steps to implead her legal representatives as defendants.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

July 23, 2015
Pankaj*