

203.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.3156 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of decision: 09.12.2015

Bhola Singh

... Petitioner

versus

Jang Singh and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr. Inder Pal Goyat, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harsh Aggarwal, Advocate,
for respondents 2, 6, 12, 15, 18 to 20.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ? No.
2. To be referred to the reporters or not ?No.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?No.

K.Kannan, J. (Oral)

1. The plaintiff, who claims as the owner tracing his title to one Ralli, has a grievance that the 1st defendant has purported to obtain gift and sale through registered instrument dated 12.11.1958 and 06.06.1960 respectively and obtained mutations also of revenue entries on two different dates one in the year 1961 and 1994. The plaintiff would make reference to the mutations of revenue entries prior to 1961 subsequently and also some jamabandis showing the plaintiff's predecessor as the owner. These documents were also part

of the record but they were omitted to be exhibited as evidence. The court declined the opportunity to the plaintiff to exhibit them after he had closed the evidence. I am of the view that the certified copies of public records will have such relevance as the plaintiff seeks to place on them and no serious prejudice could be said to be caused.

2. For the sheer indiscretion in allowing the documents to be not exhibited initially in his own turn of his evidence, I impose costs of ₹ 10,000/- against the plaintiff payable to the defendant or the counsel within a period of 2 weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order. If the plaintiff is permitted to exhibit these documents, needless to state that the defendant will have a right of cross-examination of the plaintiff and will also have an additional evidence in rebuttal if he so chooses to give. With this liberty, the impugned order is set aside and the revision is ordered as above.

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE

09.12.2015
sanjeev