

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CR No.332 of 2015

Date of Decision:-30.01.2015

Vijay Kumar.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

Roshan Lal & Anr.

.....Respondent.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH

Present:- Mr. Ashish Yadav, Advocate for the Petitioner.

JASWANT SINGH, J.(ORAL)

Applicant Vijay Kumar is in revision aggrieved by the order dated 16.12.2014 passed by the learned Civil Judge(Jr. Divn.), Kosli whereby his application under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC for impleading him as a necessary party as a co defendant has been dismissed.

It is apparent that the father of the applicant i.e. Sh. Roshan Lal has filed a suit for injunction against defendant Sajjan Singh by claiming that he is running a Kiryana shop under the tenancy of said Sajjan Singh and, therefore, seeks restraining the defendant from interfering in possession of the plaintiff. The applicant Vijay Kumar by asserting that factually he who was in possession of the tenanted premises sought his impleadment.

After arguing for sometime and having failed to convince the court learned Counsel for the petitioner prays for permission to withdraw

the present revision petition.

In view of the statement made by learned Counsel for the petitioner at the Bar, present revision petition is hereby dismissed as withdrawn.

**(JASWANT SINGH)
JUDGE**

January 30, 2015
Vinay