

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR No.3292 of 2015 (O&M)  
Date of decision: 04.12.2015**

Shyam Sunder

... Petitioner

Vs.

Renu Sharma

... Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL**

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. Navneet Jindal, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Aditya Jain, Advocate  
for the respondent.

**AMIT RAWAL J. (Oral)**

The petitioner is aggrieved against the impugned order dated 17.03.2015 (Annexure P-1), whereby, in a petition filed under Section 13 of Hindu Marriage Act, seeking divorce, interim maintenance pendent lite to the tune of ₹8,500/- per month has been awarded from the date of application.

Mr. Navneet Jindal, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that a photocopy of the appointment letter of respondent was placed on record to show that respondent-wife is earning ₹33,000/- per month but the Court below did not take into

consideration the same while awarding maintenance pendent lite to the tune of ₹8,500/- per month. Today during the course of hearing, original of the same has been handed over to Mr. Aditya Jain, Advocate, appearing on behalf of the respondent-wife, which reveals that wife is getting ₹33,000/- per month.

It is a matter of record that there is one child who is in custody of the respondent-wife. Since the minor child is in the care and custody of the respondent-wife, I am of the view that since wife earning ₹33,000/- per month, is not entitled to maintain pendent lite. However, the petitioner is liable to pay a sum of ₹5,000/- per month towards maintenance charges of the child.

Accordingly, the impugned order dated 17.03.2015, is hereby set aside. Revision petition is disposed of, with a direction to the petitioner that application filed under Section 24 of Hindu Marriage Act shall be treated as maintenance charges amounting to ₹5,000/- vis-a-vis child only from the date of the application and the petitioner is directed to make the payment of its arrears to the respondent-wife against the valid receipt within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Revision petition stands disposed of.

**(AMIT RAWAL)**  
**JUDGE**

**December 04, 2015**  
savita