

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No.3276 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of decision :14.5.2015

Sukhchain Singh

....Petitioner

versus

Karamjit Kaur

.. Respondent

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajesh Bindal

Present: Mr. A.K. Walia, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Rajesh Bindal, J.

Challenge in the present petition is to the order dated 21.4.2015 passed by the learned court below, whereby in an application filed by the respondent-wife, maintenance of ₹ 8,000/- per month has been fixed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is working as Sepoy in Army and the respondent-wife is already getting 22% of basic pay + grade pay + military service pay + DA per month being drawn by the petitioner and the learned court below has granted maintenance of ₹ 8,000/- per month in addition to that. His total carry home salary is only ₹ 30,283/-.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner, I do not find any reason to interfere with the impugned order. In para 7 of the order while referring to the amount being paid to the petitioner by the Army authorities directly, it has been observed that the respondent/applicant shall have option to claim maintenance from either of the sources. Merely because the Army authorities are already paying maintenance to the wife, the same will not debar the court to fix the maintenance.

No interference by this court is called for in the impugned order.

Dismissed.

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge

14.5.2015

sharmila