

108

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.3240 of 2015

Date of decision: May 14, 2015

Rahul

.....Petitioner

Versus

M/s Landmark Apartment Pvt. Ltd. and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SABINA

Present: Mr. Sudhir Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

SABINA, J

Petitioner has filed this petition challenging the orders dated 30.05.2014 and 04.04.2015.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and have gone through the record available on the file carefully.

Petitioner and respondent Nos.2 to 4 have filed suit for declaration and possession. Petitioner and respondent Nos.2 to 4 have challenged the sale-deeds dated 17.01.2007 on the ground that at the time of execution of the said sale-deeds, plaintiffs were minor and the sale was not for their benefit. Alongwith the suit, plaintiffs moved an application for interim injunction. The said application was dismissed by the Courts below. The case of the plaintiffs is that they were minor at the time of execution of the sale-deeds in question, whereas, the respondent No.1 placed on record affidavits executed by their mother, wherein, the plaintiffs were described as major. Parties are yet to lead their evidence in

support of their respective pleas. At this stage, respondent No.1 is in possession of the land in question as owner on the basis of the sale-deeds executed in its favour. In these circumstances, the learned courts below rightly held that the plaintiffs had no prima facie case or balance of convenience in their favour.

No ground for interference by this Court, is made out.

Dismissed.

**(SABINA)
JUDGE**

May 14, 2015

m.singh