

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.

CR 3234 of 2015

Date of Decision: July 20, 2015

Harsimranjeet Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

Avneet Kaur

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI.

-.-

Present:- None.

-.-

M.M.S. BEDI, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner is aggrieved by an order dated March 30, 2015 granting a sum of Rs.10000/- per month as maintenance pendente lite to the wife during petition under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act filed by the respondent.

A perusal of the impugned order indicates that the respondent has been working in Oman in a Company earning a handsome amount. Petitioner has sought divorce and simultaneously taken up a plea that he is jobless and is undertaking a Diploma in networking.

Whether sum of Rs.10000/- as interim maintenance is excessive warranting interference by this court by entering into the controversy regarding the capability and capacity of the petitioner to pay the amount, is to be considered.

The trial Court appears to be right in observing that the past experience, educational qualification and his capacity to earn by living abroad, petitioner is liable to pay a sum of Rs.10000/- per month. Besides this, it is pertinent to observe that the statutes has provided a period of six months under Section 21-B (2) of the Hindu Marriage Act for conclusion of a petition.

In view of the said circumstances, I do not find any ground to interfere in the impugned order.

Dismissed.

July 20, 2015
sanjay

(M.M.S.BEDI)
JUDGE