

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

103

**Civil Revision No.322 of 2015(O & M)
Date of Decision:08.09.2015**

Mukesh Kumarpetitioner

Versus

Sukhwinder Kaur and anotherrespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

- 1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgement?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present: Mr.J.S.Dadwal, Advocate
for the petitioner

ARUN PALLI, J.(ORAL):-

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as possession of the demised premises has already been obtained by the respondent-landlord in execution of the order of eviction, he does not wish to press the petition, any further, and the same be dismissed as not pressed.

Dismissed as not pressed.

08.09.2015
neenu

**(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE**