

108

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.3194 of 2015

Date of decision: May 13, 2015

Rajender Singh Verma

.....Petitioner

Versus

Shanti Devi

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SABINA

Present: Mr. Ashwani Verma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

SABINA, J

Petitioner has filed this petition challenging the order dated 30.03.2015, whereby application moved by the respondent under Order 7 Rule 11 of Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 ('CPC' for short), was allowed.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and have gone through the record available on the file carefully.

Petitioner has filed suit for possession. During the pendency of the suit, respondent moved an application that the plaint was liable to be rejected as necessary court fee had not been affixed by the petitioner. The learned trial Court vide the impugned order rightly directed the petitioner to affix *ad valorem* court fee as he had claimed relief for possession.

No ground for interference by this Court, is made out.

Dismissed.

**(SABINA)
JUDGE**

May 13, 2015

m.singh