

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

C.R. No.3188 of 2015

Date of Decision.15.05.2015

Ram Kali @ Harbhajan Kaur @ Bheji

.....Petitioner

Versus

Jai Ram and others

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. Adarsh Jain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. The counsel for the petitioner says that he will renew his application under Order 33 CPC by filing the schedule of properties to be described as nil in the manner required under the provisions of the Civil Procedure Code and if such an application is filed with details, the Court is directed to consider the same.

2. The civil revision petition is disposed of giving liberty to the petitioner to approach the Court to make good the deficiencies pointed out in the impugned order.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

May 15, 2015
Pankaj*