

116

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.3144 of 2015

Date of decision: May 11, 2015

Pankaj Aggarwal

.....Petitioner

Versus

Smt. Monika

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SABINA

Present: Mr. Munish Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

SABINA, J

Petitioner has filed this petition challenging the order dated 17.04.2015.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner wants to meet his minor child out of love and affection. In support of his arguments, learned counsel has placed reliance on ***Jagbir Singh alias Jaibir Singh versus Nisha***, 2013(3) R.C.R. (Civil) 222.

Petitioner has filed petition seeking custody of the minor child Netik. During the pendency of the petition, petitioner moved an application seeking interim custody of the minor child. A perusal of the impugned order reveals that when the minor child was brought before the Court, he refused to accompany his father (petitioner) and declined to meet him. Keeping in view the interest of the minor child, the learned trial Court rightly dismissed the application. The

child has refused to accompany his father or even to meet him.

I have gone through the judgment relied upon by the learned counsel for the petitioner, but the same fails to advance the case of the petitioner as it is based on different facts.

No ground for interference by this Court, is made out.

Dismissed.

**(SABINA)
JUDGE**

May 11, 2015
m.singh