

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**C.R. No.3034 of 2013
Date of Decision.01.05.2015**

Prerna Sharma

.....Petitioner

Versus

Amar Somany

.....Respondent

Present: Mr. S.S. Narula, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Ritu Pathak, Advocate
for the respondent.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner seeks for hearing on his plea for enhancement of maintenance before the Appellate Court where the appeal is now pending. She is at liberty to move an application for enhancement of maintenance and if such a plea is made before the Appellate Court, it will consider the same without in any way being fettered by the determination of maintenance already passed by the trial Court.

2. With these observations, the civil revision is disposed of.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

May 01, 2015
Pankaj*