

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**C.R. No.3112 of 2015
Date of Decision.08.05.2015**

Gurdarshan Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

Harpreet Singh and others

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. Rajeshwar Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. There is simply no scope for intervention for exercise of discretion in favour of the plaintiff that the costs which was imposed already have not been paid and that the plaintiff was asking for the defence to be struck off. It is inconsequential order for the trial to continue with no more fuss. There is no justification for the plaintiff to arrive at this Court by means of revision petition.
2. The revision petition is dismissed.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

May 08, 2015
Pankaj*