

115

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CR No.3091 of 2015 (O&M)**

**Date of decision: May 07, 2015**

Salwant Kaur

.....Petitioner

Versus

Sanjeev Kumar

.....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SABINA**

**Present:** Mr. Deepak Bhardwaj, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

**SABINA, J**

Petitioner has filed this petition challenging the order dated 08.04.2015, whereby application moved by the petitioner for ad-interim maintenance, was declined.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and have gone through the record available on the file carefully.

Respondent has filed petition under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 ('Act' for short) for dissolution of marriage between the parties. During the pendency of the petition, petitioner moved an application under Section 24 of the Act. Trial Court while declining the relief of ad-interim maintenance to the petitioner has held that the petitioner was working as a Clerk in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Patiala and was earning ₹33,422/- per month. Respondent, on the other hand, was working as an Ahlmad in the Court at Khanna and was earning ₹29,477/- per month. Since the

petitioner is already earning salary more than the respondent, the learned trial Court rightly held that the petitioner was not entitled to receive ad-interim maintenance. However, petitioner was allowed litigation expenses to the tune of ₹7,500/-.

No ground for interference by this Court, is made out.

Dismissed.

**(SABINA)  
JUDGE**

**May 07, 2015**  
*m.singh*