

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.

CR 3069 of 2015

Date of Decision: May 7, 2015

Surinder Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

Amarjit Singh and ors.

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI.

-. -

Present:- Mr.Hitesh Kaplish, Advocate
for the petitioner.

-. -

M.M.S. BEDI, J.(ORAL)

Counsel for the petitioner has vehemently contended that the alleged agreement dated September 15, 1989 sought to be produced and proved by defendant- respondent is a forged and fabricated document. An FIR has also been registered against the defendant for preparing the said document on stamp papers of 2004 though the document relate to a date of 1989.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and I am of the opinion that the permission to prove a document like agreement dated September 15, 1989 will not waive of the obligation of the Court to permit

the document to be read as evidence unless and until its existence, authenticity, admissibility or relevance is established in accordance with the rules of evidence. It will be open to the plaintiff to impeach the credibility of the witness making an attempt to prove the said document by effective cross-examination.

Disposed of with the above said observation.

May 7, 2015
sanjay

(M.M.S.BEDI)
JUDGE