

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.2960 of 2013
Date of Decision: September 17, 2015

M/s J.J.Bricks Works Madpur, Tehsil Samrala, District Ludhiana

...Petitioner

Versus

Inderjit Singh

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL, JUDGE

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr.H.S.Dhindsa, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr.Atul Goyal, Advocate,
for the respondent.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

The challenge in the present revision petition is to the order dated 8.12.2011 (Annexure P-5) passed by the Civil Judge (Junior Division), Samrala, whereby application under Order 39 Rule 1 & 2 CPC for grant of ad-interim injunction filed in a suit for permanent injunction restraining the defendant from interfering in the possession as well as permitting the plaintiff to dig the land, has been declined.

The suit admittedly had been filed on 21.5.2011. Almost four years have elapsed and the parties are litigating for adjudication of the application filed under Order 39 Rule 1 & 2 CPC.

Since the parties are at variance, I do not deem it appropriate to interfere in the impugned order, but instead direct the trial Court to expedite the trial of the suit.

Accordingly, the impugned orders are upheld. The revision petition is disposed of with a direction to the trial Court to decide the suit as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of one years from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

September 17, 2015
ramesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE