

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CR No.2752 of 2014

Date of decision : 03.12.2015

Gurinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Rajbir Kaur

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment ?
2. To be referred to reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr. H.S. Batth, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vaneet Sharma, Advocate for the respondent.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits, that decree of divorce has been granted vide judgment and decree dated 13.11.2014 and the petitioner has not received any copy of the notice of the appeal.

Present revision petition is against the order allowing the application filed under Section 24 of the Hindu Marriage Act granting maintenance pendente lite of ₹8000/- per month from the date of filing of the application.

Keeping in view the fact that petitioner has been able to obtain the divorce under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act, in my view no cause of action survives in the present revision petition.

Revision petition is disposed of being rendered as infructuous.

Liberty is granted to revive the present revision petition, in case any appeal is filed.

03.12.2015

pawan

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**