

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.4057 of 2009
Date of decision : 03.11.2015

Sohan Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Darshan Singh and ors.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment ?
2. To be referred to reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr. Munish Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. C.L. Premy, Advocate for the respondent No.8.

Mr. Rakesh Chopra, Advocate for respondent Nos.1 to 7.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

Mr. Munish Gupta, learned counsel appearing on behalf of petitioner submits, that parties have arrived at compromise and this fact has not been disputed by Mr. C.L. Premy, Advocate for respondent No.8.

In view of such situation, present revision petition is disposed of as rendered infructuous.

Liberty is granted to revive the present revision petition, in case position is averse.

03.11.2015

pawan

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**