

**THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 2862 of 2013 (O&M)
Date of decision: July 7, 2015

Naresh Kumar Sharma

...Petitioners

Versus

Kusum Lata

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. **Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?**
2. **To be referred to the Reporters or not ?**
3. **Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present: Mr. Amit Jain, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Rajinder Goyal, Advocate,
for the respondent.

K. KANNAN, J. (Oral)

1. The parties are present in court in person. With the consent of the counsel for the parties revision petition itself is taken up for final hearing.
2. The learned counsel for the parties agree that there has been compromise between the parties and the tenant would vacate the premises by 31st July, 2015. The decree-holder/landlord will have a right of execution, if the property is not voluntarily surrendered on or before the aforesaid date. Both the parties also agree that the entire arrears of rent stands paid upto 31st July, 2015 and no amount is payable as arrears.
3. The revision petition and all the appellations stand disposed of accordingly.

July 7, 2015

(K.KANNAN)

prem

JUDGE