

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No. 2955 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of decision : 11.5.2015

Panna Lal

... Petitioner

VS

Smt. Mahindro Devi and others

... Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajesh Bindal

Present: Mr. Partap Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Rajesh Bindal, J.

Challenge in the present petition is to the order dated 23.12.2014 passed by the Motor Accidents Claims Tribunal, Jind (for short, the Tribunal'), vide which the petitioner was impleaded as one of the respondents in the claim petition filed by respondent nos. 1 to 4 herein.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner herein is not necessary or proper party in the claim petition as he is not the registered owner of the vehicle. The registered owner has already been impleaded. Merely because he claimed that the vehicle had been sold to the petitioner, he cannot be impleaded as a party, as the liability is always of the registered owner.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner, I do not find any merit in the submissions made.

The learned Tribunal has observed that from record, it was evident that the vehicle involved in the accident was sold by respondent no. 6 to the petitioner on 24.8.2009 much prior the accident which took place on 24.8.2009. In fact, the driver of the vehicle was Vijay Pal son of the petitioner, who has already been impleaded as respondent in the claim petition. Once the case sought to be set up by respondent no. 6, the registered owner of the vehicle, is that the vehicle had already been sold much prior to the date of accident and the possession of the vehicle had

already been handed over to the petitioner, in my opinion, no error has been committed by the learned Tribunal in impleading him as one of the respondents.

Accordingly, the petition is dismissed.

11.5.2015
vs

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge