

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**C.R. No.2943 of 2015
Date of Decision.15.05.2015**

Telu RamPetitioner

Versus

Chaman LalRespondent

Present: Mr. Krishan Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. The defendant claims that he is in possession of the property under a lease deed. The plaintiff claims that it is not true and it has been fabricated by the defendant by using his signatures. There is a large ground which the plaintiff has to traverse before he disowns the document to which he is a signatory. The two Courts below rejected the claim of injunction in favour of the plaintiff. I do not want to upset the finding of the Courts below and find no scope for intervention.

2. The civil revision is dismissed.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

May 15, 2015
Pankaj*