

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 2663 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of decision: November 26, 2015

Shopat Rai

...Petitioner

Versus

Vinod Kumar and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

- 1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present: Mr. SK Aneja, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ajay Jain, Advocate,
for respondent No.1.

K. KANNAN, J. (Oral)

1. The plaintiff is aggrieved by the direction for payment of ad-valorem fee on market value of the property for declaratory action and for the consequential relief of joint possession. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner would argue that the suit in respect of the agricultural land is assessed to revenue and is liable to pay the court fee only 10 times of the land revenue in the manner contemplated under Section 7 (v) sub-clause (a) of the Court Fee Act. The plea is accepted and the court shall assess the value in the manner provided under the said provision by eliciting revenue payable to the government and collect court fee on 10 times of such revenue.

2. The order passed already is set aside and the revision is allowed on the above terms.

November 26, 2015
prem

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE