

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.2932 of 2015
Date of decision : 25.08.2015

Prabhjot Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Ruheen Kaur

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment ?
2. To be referred to reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr. Siddhant Chattopadhyaya, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Inderpal Singh Parmar, Advocate for the respondent.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the respondent submits that impugned order granting the maintenance pendente lite to the respondent has been assailed. However, after filing of the revision petition, petition in trial Court was dismissed in default on 01.07.2015 and till date no application for restoration of the petition has been filed, therefore, no cause of action survives in the present petition. Same is accordingly dismissed.

However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to revive the revision petition in case petition in the trial Court is restored to its original number.

25.08.2015

pawan

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**