

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR No.2819 of 2013 (O&M)
Date of decision : April 06, 2015**

Firm Ajit Singh Om Parkash

..... Petitioner

Versus

Sudesh Kumari

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE GURMIT RAM

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not? No / Yes
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? No / Yes

Present : None for the petitioner.

Mr. Hem Raj Kapil, Advocate,
for the respondent.

GURMIT RAM, J.

On the last date of hearing learned counsel for the respondent has stated that the respondent (landlord) has taken the possession of the demised premises in due course of law and, as such, this revision petition has been rendered infructuous. On that day the learned counsel for the petitioner has sought an adjournment to verify this fact. Today none has come present on behalf of the petitioner. Hence this revision petition stands dismissed as having been rendered infructuous and disposed of accordingly.

**(GURMIT RAM)
JUDGE**

April 06, 2015

Gaurav Sorot