

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA

AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Revision No.2873 of 2015

Date of decision: 08.09.2015

Sham Lal and others

.....Petitioners

versus

Smt.Kamla and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Mr.Naveen S. Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioners
Mr.Sudeep Mahajan, Advocate for respondent No.1 to 3

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

Impugned in the present revision is the order dated 19.12.2014, passed by the learned Additional District Judge, Hisar, affirming the order dated 29.5.2014, passed by the learned Civil Judge, Junior Division, Hisar, vide which, the parties were directed to maintain status quo regarding the construction and possession. The parties were also directed not to alienate the suit property and not to ask the tenants to vacate the suit property till the decision of the present suit.

The present petitioners who are the defendants before the lower Court have objection only to the latter portion of the order, vide which, the parties were directed not to ask the tenants to vacate

the suit property.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties, I am of the view that whatever may be the fate of the case, none of the parties can be restrained from taking recourse to law against the tenants if the same is permissible. Tenants are otherwise not party in the present case.

It being so, the latter portion of the order directing the parties not to ask the tenants to vacate the suit property till the decision of the suit stands set aside.

The revision is accordingly partly allowed.

08.09.2015
gk

(Kuldip Singh)
Judge