

108

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.2567 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of decision: March 31, 2015

Charanjit Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

Gurmit Singh

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SABINA

Present: Mr. Veneet Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. V.K. Sandhir, Advocate
for the respondent.

SABINA, J

Respondent had filed the petition under Section 13 of the East Punjab Urban Rent Restriction Act, 1949 seeking ejectment of the petitioner. The learned Rent Controller vide order dated 19.07.2012 allowed the ejectment petition. The said order was upheld by the Appellate Authority vide judgment dated 22.01.2014. Hence, the present petition by the petitioner.

After arguing for sometime, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that he does not press the revision petition on merits and prayed that the petitioner be granted six months time to vacate the demised premises as he was in possession of the premises in question for a number of years.

Learned counsel for the respondent has not

opposed the submission made by learned counsel for the petitioner qua grant of six months time to the petitioner to vacate the premises in question.

In view of submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this revision petition is dismissed on merits. However, the petitioner is granted six months time from today to vacate the demised premises, i.e. on or before 30.09.2015. This order shall operate subject to filing of an undertaking by the petitioner in this regard before the learned Rent Controller within 15 days from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and on making payment of the entire arrears of rent, if any due, within a period of four weeks from today and shall also keep on paying the rent of ensuing months upto 30.09.2015 to the respondent on or before 10th of each calendar month.

**(SABINA)
JUDGE**

March 31, 2015

m.singh