

**239 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Revision No.2554 of 2014
Date of Decision: August 27, 2015**

Mukesh **Petitioner**

vs.

Ekta **Respondent**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Petitioner in person along with his counsel
Mr. Pawan Kumar Hooda.

Mr. Ajay Bharwaj, Advocate for the respondent.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

Impugned in the present revision petition is the order dated 05.02.2014 passed by learned Addl. Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Karnal, vide which the defence of respondent-petitioner was struck off in a petition filed under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 (for short 'the Act') and conditional warrants of arrest were issued to recover the interim maintenance.

It comes out that in the said petition filed under the Act, the interim maintenance was awarded. Despite several opportunities, the interim maintenance was not paid. Therefore the defence of the respondent-petitioner was struck off.

Even today, the petitioner still seeks time to make the payment of interim maintenance.

Therefore, it appears that the petitioner does not want to pay the said payment.

Thus, there is no illegality or infirmity in the impugned order.

Accordingly, the present revision petition stands dismissed.

August 27, 2015
sarita

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE