

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

IOIN CR No.2534 of 2006
Date of decision: 09.10.2015

Vinod Kumar Gupta

... Petitioner

Versus

Veer Bhan

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: None.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)

On 18.07.2014, learned counsel for the petitioner was afforded time to implead the LR's of the respondent. As none had caused appearance on behalf of the petitioner on 26.03.2015, the matter was adjourned to 27.07.2015. On the adjourned date, counsel for the petitioner was again afforded yet another opportunity to move the necessary application within four weeks.

Office report shows that no such application has been moved so far. Nobody is present either to pursue the matter on behalf of the petitioner.

That being so, the court is choice-less but to dismiss the revision petition for non-prosecution.

Ordered, accordingly.

October 9, 2015
Rajan

(Arun Palli)
Judge