

**238 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR-2501-2014

Date of Decision : 02.03.2015

DAVINDER MOHAN

..... Petitioner

Versus

HARBANS KAUR

..... Respondent

CORAM HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.KANNAN

1. Whether reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see judgment?

2. To be referred to reporters or not?

3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

Present: Mr. K.S.Dadwal, Sr. Advocate with
Ms. Neha Jain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Sarika Gupta, Advocate
for the respondent.

K.Kannan, J (Oral)

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent points out that at the time of issuing notice on 08.07.2014, the Court recorded the submission of the petitioner that he was not disputing the concurrent finding of the facts recorded against him and he would confine himself only with reference to the prayer for time for vacating the demised premises. The case was brought for hearing on 18.07.2014 and adjourned to 27.10.2014 for appearance of parties.

I have considered the fact that the property is situated only in a village and that the petition has filed in the year 2005. Thus the tenant has taken the benefit of 10 years pendency. He shall be allowed time for eviction for a period of 3 months for eviction. There shall be an undertaking that he will vacate the premises within the period of 3 months and the undertaking will be given within a weeks' time. If the

undertaking is not given, the order of eviction will operate eo instanti. The petitioner will also continue to be liable to pay rent within first 5 days of every calendar month and any default in payment will result in the time granted to the tenant to be unavailable and allowing for the landlord to obtain eviction through Court.

The Revision is disposed of.

(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE

March 02, 2015

ps-l