

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

C.R. No.2772 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision.10.12.2015

Sudha wife of Sh. Ashwani and others

.....Petitioner

Vs.

Pardeep Kumar and another

.....Respondents

Present: Mr. Ramender Chauhan, Advocate
for the appellants.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

C.M. No.23285-CII of 2015

For the reasons stated in the application, order passed by this Court on 01.10.2015 is recalled and the revision petition is restored to its original number.

Application is allowed.

C.R. No.2772 of 2015

1. There is no justification for the petitioner to approach this Court in a lackadaisical fashion without any preparedness about how the Court had no jurisdiction from the point of how a complaint was served on the plaintiff and the place of service to be taken as a place where the cause of action had arisen. The contention which is sought to be projected is that the complaint was registered in the police station within whose jurisdiction he was residing and that was the only Court which can decide. A cause of action is a bundle of causes and if there

was service of summon in a particular place making reference to an alleged wrong act of the plaintiff and the plaintiff feels aggrieved and the Court was relying upon a judgment of a High Court to state that cause of action arises also in the place where the summon was served, I would take no argument that Section 19 does not permit so. The counsel has no preparation made to show as to how the judgment relied upon by the Court below was wrong.

2. The revision petition is dismissed.

(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE

December 10, 2015
Pankaj*