

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

ARCHANA ARORA
19/10/2015 14:45
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CR No. 2770 of 2015
Date of decision : October 19, 2015

Avtar Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

Jagjeet Kaur

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present:- Mr. Parvinder Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

Amit Rawal, J (oral).

This Court while issuing notice of motion on 27.4.2015 passed the following order.

“Order granting interim maintenance does not appear to be inappropriate. However, in order to give a fair opportunity to the parties to amicably resolve the dispute, the parties can be directed to appear before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of Punjab and Haryana High Court.

Notice of motion be issued to the respondent-wife for appearance before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of Punjab and Haryana High Court on 20.7.2015. The petitioner will pay a sum of Rs.20,000/- to the respondent on said date before

the mediator in order to enable her to meet the travelling and other misc. expenses.

For awaiting report of the Mediation and Conciliation Centre, to come up on 21.8.2015.

It has been reported that mediation proceedings have failed. In a petition filed under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 the trial court determined maintenance pendente lite, at ₹7,000/- per month and litigation expenses at ₹7,000/-.

I do not find any illegality and perversity in the order as the husband is earning a sum of ₹10,618/- per month as salary and also doing part time job.

In view of such, the amount of maintenance pendente lite does not call for any interference.

The revision petition is accordingly dismissed.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

October 19 , 2015
archana