

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 2499 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of decision: March 26, 2015

Parshotam Lal

...Petitioner

Versus

Sanatam Dharam Mahavir Dal

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. **Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?**
2. **To be referred to the Reporters or not ?**
3. **Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present: Mr. Gaurav Rana, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Piyush Jain, Advocate,
for the respondent.

K. KANNAN, J. (Oral)

The counsel for the petitioner says that the petitioner will prefer an appeal against the impugned order passed and seeks for withdrawal of the revision petition. The petition is dismissed as withdrawn. The petitioner may adopt such a procedure as is available to him in law.

March 26, 2015
prem

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE