

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

C.R. No.2769 of 2015

Date of Decision.08.05.2015

Smt. Vidhata

.....Petitioner

Versus

Gurpreet Singh Bhalla

.....Respondent

Present: Mr. G.S. Chahal, Advocate for
Mr. Malkeet Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-. -

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. There is no scope for modification or amendment sought which has been granted on the preliminary evidence which is produced before the Court below. The Court below has granted ₹ 4,000/- as maintenance for the wife and minor child who is 9 years of age. According to the counsel, the respondent is a property dealer who owns a house and a car. With no specific details of the income status of such a property dealer if the Court has awarded ₹ 4,000/-, I will find no cause for modification.

2. The petitioner is at liberty to furnish appropriate proof before the Court below and seek for modification of the order for enhancement. If such plea is made, the Court will consider it afresh and till such redetermination is made, the amount of ₹ 4,000/- will be required to be paid by the husband. There is no scope for making any

intervention at this stage.

3. The revision petition is dismissed.

(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE

May 08, 2015
Pankaj*