

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

C.R. NO.275 OF 2015

DATE OF DECISION: OCTOBER 31, 2015

Shri Jainendra Gurukul Panchkula (Regd.), District Panchkula

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

Lala Ram Aggarwal through his L.Rs

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgement?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Ms. Kamaldeep Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Adarsh Jain, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

This case was admitted on 10.04.2015 and listed today because of non service of the respondents. However, counsel for the petitioner puts in appearance and states that the present petition has been rendered infructuous and the same be disposed of as such.

On the request made by counsel for the petitioner, the main revision petition is taken on the board for hearing and disposed of as infructuous.

**October 31, 2015
khurmi**

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**