

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.2478 of 2014

Date of decision: 06.04.2015

Dharamveer Kapoor

...Petitioner

versus

Gurdhir Singh

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr. J.K. Singla, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

None for the respondent.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ? No.
2. To be referred to the reporters or not ?No.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?No.

K.Kannan, J. (Oral)

I find no error in the order for intervention, but courts always are more liberal for amendment of the written statement than amendment of the plaint. The amendment brought in additional factors relating to the motivation for the lawyer to hand over the papers to the plaintiff to file a suit containing the signatures of the defendant. This could not be stated to be an aspect which was not within the knowledge of the defendant when he filed the written statement. I will not fetter the defendant for giving such a statement provided that the petitioner pays ₹25,000/- as costs as a condition

precedent for allowing the amendment petition. The amount shall be paid within a period of 2 weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order. If the amount is not paid to the plaintiff, the order passed already shall stand restored. The order passed already is set aside and the revision petition is allowed on the above terms.

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE

06.04.2015
sanjeev